

May 9, 2022

The Honorable Joe Manchin Chairman Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources 306 Hart Senate Building Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable John Barrasso
Ranking Member
Senate Committee on Energy and Natural
Resources
307 Dirksen Senate Building
Washington, DC 20510

Re: Urge FERC to Support Electricity Transmission Competition to Fight Inflation and Reduce Consumer Costs by \$525 billion by 2050

Dear Chairman Manchin and Ranking Member Barrasso:

The undersigned 75 organizations from across the nation urge you to aggressively support electricity transmission competition, especially for projects that are 100 kV and larger. The FERC April 21, 2022 Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on transmission and planning rejects competition and protects incumbent monopoly utilities. Competition reduces costs for families and businesses that are captive consumers. Competition reduces inflation and is consistent with American values. Nationwide, from 2014 to 2020, RTO/ISO transmission costs increased by \$74.9 billion, or 79 percent, while electricity demand was flat (see Figure 1).¹

Support for transmission competition is consistent with President Biden's comments during the State of the Union address on March 1, 2022, when he stated, "Capitalism without competition is exploitation" and his Executive Order on "Promoting Competition in the American Economy." In fact, across the country, consumers are being exploited by incumbent electric utilities that have circumvented FERC Order 1000 that was supposed to usher in an era of competition. Studies show that only about 3 percent of electric transmission projects are competitively bid.²

Transmission competition is especially important given President Biden's plans to decarbonize the economy, which is projected by some to require record transmission spending. Under one of the Princeton "Net Zero America" study scenarios the U.S. may need to spend \$2.1 trillion by

¹ Electricity, U.S. Energy Information Administration, https://www.eia.gov/electricity/ Nationwide demand was 3.76 billion MWh in 2014 and 3.72 billion MWh in 2020

² Brattle Group: Cost Savings Offered by Competition in Electric Transmission, https://www.brattle.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/16726 cost savings offered by competition in electric transmission.pdf

2050 to build out the transmission grid.³ Studies have shown that competition can reduce the cost of transmission projects by 20-30 percent. Therefore, a 25 percent savings would save consumers an estimated \$525 billion.

FIGURE 1: Comparison of Transmission Investment by Region 2014 – 2020 (\$ millions)⁴

| Year | CAISO | FRCC | ISO-NE | MISO | NYISO | PJM | SERC | SPP | WECC | Yearly Total |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|
| 2014 | \$7,964 | \$1,646 | \$6,347 | \$15,373 | \$22,896 | \$20,373 | \$7,504 | \$6,015 | \$7,044 | \$95,163 |
| 2015 | \$11,533 | \$2,228 | \$7,043 | \$17,187 | \$23,858 | \$24,957 | \$8,007 | \$6,622 | \$7,395 | \$108,831 |
| 2016 | \$13,015 | \$2,472 | \$7,665 | \$20,072 | \$24,303 | \$29,554 | \$8,616 | \$7,265 | \$7,859 | \$120,821 |
| 2017 | \$15,137 | \$2,700 | \$8,259 | \$22,846 | \$25,645 | \$33,877 | \$9,003 | \$7,832 | \$8,227 | \$133,526 |
| 2018 | \$15,594 | \$2,851 | \$8,823 | \$25,197 | \$26,660 | \$37,542 | \$10,067 | \$8,508 | \$8,543 | \$143,784 |
| 2019 | \$16,217 | \$3,030 | \$9,545 | \$27,206 | \$27,740 | \$42,319 | \$10,834 | \$8,931 | \$8,950 | \$154,773 |
| 2020 | \$17,481 | \$3,115 | \$10,269 | \$30,532 | \$29,796 | \$48,799 | \$11,568 | \$9,292 | \$9,240 | \$170,092 |
| Grand Total | \$96,941 | \$18,042 | \$57,950 | \$158,414 | \$180,899 | \$237,421 | \$65,600 | \$54,465 | \$57,257 | \$926,989 |

Incumbent electric utilities oppose transmission competition and would have you believe that electricity electrons stop at a state's border. The vast majority of transmission projects involve interstate commerce and that is why changes to FERC's regulations are needed to ensure that consumers benefit from competition and lower costs.

We request that the Committee hold a hearing that would allow consumers to explain needed changes to FERC's NOPR and its benefits. Thank you in advance for protecting consumers from monopoly power and higher costs.

Sincerely,

Paul N. Cicio

Paul N. Cicio

Chairman, Electricity Transmission Competition Coalition https://electricitytransmissioncompetitioncoalition.org/

³ NET-ZERO AMERICA: Potential Pathways, Infrastructure, and Impacts, Princeton University, https://netzeroamerica.princeton.edu/?explorer=year&state=national&table=2020&limit=200

⁴ S&P Global Market Intelligence Regulatory Research Associates Regulatory Focus: An Overview of Transmission Ratemaking in the U.S. – 2021 Update

cc: The Honorable Jennifer Granholm FERC Chairman and Commissioners

MEMBERS OF THE ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION COALITON

Alliance of Western Energy Consumers (AWEC)

American Forest & Paper Association

Ag Processing

Aluminum Association

American Chemistry Council

American Foundry Society

American Iron and Steel Institute

Ardagh Group

Arglass Yamamura

Arkansas Electric Energy Consumers, Inc.

Arkansas Forest and Paper Council

Association of Businesses Advocating for Tariff Equity

CalPortland Company

Can Manufacturers Institute

Carolina Industrial Group for Fair Utility Rates

Carolina Utility Customers Association, Inc.

Century Aluminum

Chemistry Council of New Jersey

Council of Industrial Boilers Organization

Coalition of MISO Transmission Customers

Commercial Metals Company

Delaware Energy Users Group

Digital Realty

Domtar Corporation

Eramet Marietta Inc.

Ford Motor Company

Formosa Plastics Corporation, USA

Foundry Association of Michigan

Glass Packaging Institute

Industrial Energy Consumers of America

Illinois Industrial Energy Consumers

Indiana Cast Metals Association

Indiana Industrial Energy Consumers

Industrial Energy Consumers of Pennsylvania

Industrial Energy Users-Ohio

Industrial Minerals Association-North America

Iowa Business Energy Coalition

Iowa Industrial Energy Group, Inc.

Iron Mining Association of Minnesota

Lehigh Hanson, Inc.

LS Power Development, LLC

Maine Industrial Energy Consumer Group

Marathon Petroleum Company

Metalcasters of Minnesota

Messer Americas

Michigan Chemistry Council

Midwest Food Products Association

Minnesota Large Industrial Group

Multiple Intervenors, NY

National Council of Textile Organizations

National Retail Federation

North Carolina Manufacturers Alliance

Office of the People's Counsel for the District of Columbia

Ohio Cast Metals Association

Ohio Energy Group

Ohio Manufacturers' Association

Oklahoma Industrial Energy Consumers

Olin Corporation

Owens-Illinois

Pennsylvania Energy Consumer Alliance

PJM Industrial Customer Coalition

Portland Cement Association

Public Citizen, Inc.

R Street

Resale Power Group of Iowa

Retail Industry Leaders Association

Riceland Foods, Inc.

Rio Tinto

Steel Manufacturers Association

Texas Cast Metals Association

Vallourec STAR LP

Vinyl Institute

Virginia Manufacturers Association

West Virginia Energy Users Group

Wisconsin Cast Metals Association

Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group