# The Importance of US Gas-Fired Electric Generation to Natural Gas Price Formation

S&P Global Platts Analytics

Richard Redash, Head of North American Gas and Power Research, Analytics

November 13, 2018



### Natural gas prices matter — for now — but how long?

- US Gas Supply Abundance tremendous upside potential dependent on demand gains
  - Opportunities exist for greater use of existing as well as new gas-fired CCGT plants, given prospect of more coal and nuclear plant retirements
- Extent of Domestic / International Market Responsiveness Pivotal
  - Next wave of material US demand growth hinges on gas-fired electric generation and/or LNG exports
- Escalating Costs Threaten Timeliness of Midstream Projects, Starting with Pipelines
  - "Temporary" versus "permanent" walling off of "cheap" supply
    - Proposition 112 in CO
- Gulf of Mexico Henry Hub now a "premium" price location
  - Upside price potential "capped" by nearby dry shale play breakevens (e.g. Haynesville/Bossier), as well as
    extent of access to byproduct gas growth but additional TX/LA pipe capacity will be needed
  - Regional prices, however, to remain vulnerable to more repeated episodes of relative weakness

## Only warm winters have spawned sustained sub-\$2.50 Henry Hub prices — despite growing byproduct US gas production gains



Platts

#### Perceptions of low-cost US gas supply abundance underscored by extended NYMEX long-dated futures downtrend



Platts

Midstream constraints shift traditional balancing point toward supply; pipeline constraints threaten basis points vs Henry Hub



Platts

## Key challenge for US gas market is getting "cheap" gas to where its needed most — Gulf Coast (Henry Hub) — and at what cost





### Prospects surrounding sustained \$2/MMBtu Henry Hub prices conflict with likely S&D responses tied to ensuing regional prices



**S&P Global** Platts

### Potential for more LNG and gas-fired EG — post-2023 — favors price appreciation given evolution of gas supply mix



**S&P Global** Platts

### US gas power burns forecast to peak at ~40 BCF/D

#### Medium-Term Gas Growth Limited by Renewable Generation

 Despite capacity additions, increases in gas burn through 2025 are limited by slow load growth and increasing renewables generation, remaining in the 25 BCF/D range.

#### Long-term Gas Growth Fueled by Retirements/Policy

 Under Reference case assumptions for gas prices, carbon policy, renewables tax subsidies, gas-fired generation grows rapidly from the mid 2020's forward reaching 40 BCFD in 2040.

#### Growth Concentrated in Few Regions

- Largest gains occur in regions with substantial aging coal/nuclear capacity, low gas/coal price spreads.

#### Downside Risks

- Financial support for gas competitors (coal, nuclear, renewable)
- Unwillingness of states to allow massive gas additions
- Weaker loads, more BtM generation

#### Upside Risks

- NYMEX forwards favor larger gains for gas-fired generation

#### Key phases in the outlook for US power sector gas use



## Breakdown of US electric generation (EG) by ISO/RTO and share held by natural gas



### Upside potential for material gas-fired electric generation gains limited to select ISO/RTOs – MISO, PJM, SERC and ERCOT



Platts

## Regional breakdown of US electric generation changes — 2040 vs 2018



**S&P Global** Platts

## Nuclear, coal and gas combined-cycles dominate generation, but relatively low capacity utilization shows room to gain/lose



Source: EIA 860, EIA 923

S&P Global Platts

#### **Generator demographics favor gas**







### Cumulative announced coal and nuclear retirements — but barring subsidies, additional retirements likely with <\$3 gas





**S&P Global** Platts

## 39 GW of combined-cycle capacity in development with nearly half in PJM



PJM accounts for a large share of growth in gas-fired generation through 2023, but MISO to dominate thereafter



#### Loads remained decoupled from economic activity through 2017



Source: BEA, Platts Analytics

### Absent policy support, coal & nuclear lose in the medium term; lower load growth and more renewables limits gas beyond



#### Fall (Oct) 2018 Forecast

## Carbon policy drives the next wave of coal to gas and gas to renewable switching



Platts

### Longer-term issues — gas prices (still) matter

#### • US (N.A.) gas resource base more than able to support another 10+ Tcf expansion

- Byproduct gas growth, though, will diminish along with US onshore crude oil production gains post-2020
- Demand (including exports) "ready" to respond at "right" price; EG vs LNG
  - Use of existing (and new) gas-fired electric generation (EG) capacity at expense of coal and nuclear
  - Additional LNG export terminals in US or elsewhere in N.A.
- Bottlenecks due to inadequate midstream capacity and/or delayed project startups
  - Need to overcome "last mile" hurdle to realize Henry Hub premiums
  - Upstream (and some key downstream) markets will be forced to balance supply and demand via basis weakness
- Policy (targets, mandates, subsidies, tax policy) <u>and</u> technology
   Timing critical to price formation

### **Thank You!**

### **S&P Global Platts**

Richard Redash — rich.redash@spglobal.com

NA Gas Group — <u>nagasanalytics@spglobal.com</u>



DISCLAIMER. THIS REPORT IS FURNISHED ON AN "AS IS"BASIS. BENTEK DOES NOT WARRANT THE ACCURACY OR CORRECTNESS OF THE REPORT OR THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN. BENTEK MAKES NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE USE OF ANY INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT IN CONNECTION WITH TRADING OF COMMODITIES, EQUITIES, FUTURES, OPTIONS OR ANY OTHER USE. BENTEK MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES OF MERCHANT- ABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. RELEASE AND LIMITATION OF LIABILITY: IN NO EVENT SHALL BENTEK BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING LOST PROFIT) ARISING OUT OF OR RELATED TO THE ACCURACY OR CORRECTNESS OF THIS REPORT OR THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN. WHETHER BASED ON WARRANTY, CONTRACT, TORT OR ANY OTHER LEGAL THEORY.

